Present: Konkel, Mitnick, Heck, Rickey, Anglim

Others present: City Attorney Haas joined in at 10:48am

No public comment

No disclosures or recusals

The decision was to wait until the next subcommittee meeting for approval of the minutes for the public to respond if they would want to.

**Election of the Chair of the Subcommittee**

Mitnick volunteered to be the Chair of the subcommittee.  There was unanimous consent for Mitnick to be the chair.

**Subcommittee Outcome Discussion –**

The subcommittee discussed outcomes, information gathering, and determined what their role should be with information they receive. The subcommittee thought their role would be more geared towards learning information, summarizing, and making recommendations from what has been learned from the community, police department, and city staff. They felt there are others that are also involved with the budget that may have more expertise such as the City/County government, Alders, and the public. The subcommittee talked about producing a draft report from the information they have learned and have the public invited to provide comment and to add information to the report. A report would then be produced that could be shared with the PSRC and then to Council. There was a suggestion to work on the short term budget efforts now and possibly long-term budget efforts for the future. There was also the idea of the subcommittee as an output to take what is learned about the increase/decrease of the police department budget and finding a way to report on each items that has a budget impact and sort out the nuances of the budget with specific questions, etc.

**Additional Budget questions and requests for information -**

For the next subcommittee meeting it was discussed if they should start brainstorming on what they learned from the Police and Finance presentation. They questioned if there should be a spreadsheet created on what items cost, what/where are savings, and the connections and goals with an update to the PSRC on the police department budget. There was discussion on the subcommittee not being experts with the police departments budget as it complicated and perplexed and determined what the subcommittee can do and what comes up may not be the end-all. The thought was it should still be about what has been learned and push the information forward. To begin the learning portion it was mentioned it will be good to hear the police departments staffing presentation to gather information and to learn about the impacts and what it would look like and mean by cutting 10, 15, or 20 percent of the police force.

**Subcommittee next steps -**

The timeline was discussed to be able to work on items and share information. It was determined there is about two months to do a lot of research. The subcommittee would like to try and meet again between the dates of July 13-17 and inquire with police and finance and see if they are ready to present. The month of July would be for the collection of information. There was discussion on the creation of a specific e-mail address just for citizens to be able to comment on the budget and the subcommittee to take considerations and have it ready for the PSRC meeting in August/September. It was asked that support staff, Hardiman reach out to City IT to find out if this is possible and report back. Alder Heck indicated he would find out if there is a method of a google doc or a form that can be sent to the specific e-mail address. He will reach out and report back on what he found. In August, the subcommittee will think about what the report will look like and work on producing a draft by the end of August and possibly have a public hearing in September.   The subcommittee was hoping to have this all completed before the Mayor’s budget comes out with the information ready to be provided to the Mayor for some input on her budget.  City Attorney Haas shared the Mayor can benefit from the work done and can decide if there is anything else left to do. The subcommittee shared their goal is to inform the PSRC as well the public and policy makers and intends to meet every other Wednesday beginning on July 15 with future dates on meeting agendas so the public knows.  It was suggested to see if the September meeting can be a Type 1 meeting for public comment.   The September meeting date will be determined at the next PSRC meeting on July 8. The suggestion was for subcommittee members to start making lists to complete research on areas to evaluate such as stuff/actions/recommendations and then determine what should be done with the information at the July 15 meeting. Anglim suggested areas to look at would be the police department’s mission statements that were sent to the PSRC. It was suggested to have the lists done by July 9 and shared with support staff, Hardiman to compile. Anglim and Rickey who attended the subcommittee were invited to work on ideas as well.

Mitnick made a motion to adjourn. Konkel seconded the motion. The meeting adjourned at 11:30am.
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