MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE

CREDIT OPINION

11 September 2019



Contacts

Natalie Claes	+1.312.706.9973
Analyst	
natalie.claes@moodys.com	
Tatiana Killen	+1.212.553.2895
VP-Senior Analyst	

CLIENT SERVICES

tatiana.killen@moodys.com

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454

Madison (City of) WI

Update to credit analysis

Summary

<u>Madison</u> (Aaa negative) maintains a strong credit profile, characterized by a large tax base serving as the state capital, a diverse local economy and long-standing stability provided by government and higher education presences. Operating reserves are healthy, somewhat offsetting revenue raising limitations. Fixed costs are high, though long-term leverage is moderate.

Credit strengths

- » Large, expanding tax base with solid resident income levels
- » Healthy operating reserves

Credit challenges

- » High fixed costs
- » Limited revenue raising flexibility due to state imposed levy limits

Rating outlook

The negative outlook reflects the city's exposure to the operations of its water enterprise given its close governing ties and coterminous service area. The outlook reflects the challenges of the water system and the potential impact on the city's credit profile.

Factors that could lead to an upgrade

» Not applicable

Factors that could lead to a downgrade

- » Significant contraction of tax base coupled with weakened resident income levels
- » Narrowing of operating reserves
- » Increased leverage

Key indicators

Exhibit 1

Madison (City of) WI	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Economy/Tax Base					
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$22,710,892	\$23,685,668	\$24,596,422	\$26,768,654	\$28,727,408
Population	239,848	243,122	246,034	248,856	252,546
Full Value Per Capita	\$94,689	\$97,423	\$99,972	\$107,567	\$113,751
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	119.3%	119.0%	120.7%	120.0%	120.0%
Finances					
Operating Revenue (\$000)	\$268,260	\$289,227	\$309,799	\$305,928	\$319,755
Fund Balance (\$000)	\$69,118	\$65,598	\$69,707	\$73,487	\$74,438
Cash Balance (\$000)	\$62,808	\$67,609	\$76,712	\$76,057	\$84,297
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	25.8%	22.7%	22.5%	24.0%	23.3%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	23.4%	23.4%	24.8%	24.9%	26.4%
Debt/Pensions					
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$366,527	\$391,177	\$431,957	\$467,292	\$469,046
3-Year Average of Moody's ANPL (\$000)	\$219,029	\$317,383	\$462,514	\$529,245	\$593,594
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	1.6%	1.7%	1.8%	1.7%	1.6%
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	1.4x	1.4x	1.4x	1.5x	1.5x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	1.0%	1.3%	1.9%	2.0%	2.1%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)	0.8x	1.1x	1.5x	1.7x	1.9x

Sources: Moody's Investors Service, the city's audited financial statement, US Census Bureau

Profile

Madison is the state capital of <u>Wisconsin</u> (Aa1 stable) and is home to the flagship campus of the University of Wisconsin. It is the state's second largest city with an estimated population of 255,650.

Detailed credit considerations

Economy and tax base: state capital with strong tax base growth

Madison's tax base will continue to expand, with strong economic growth supported by its status as the state capital, a regional economic center and the institutional presence of the University of Wisconsin's flagship campus. The \$29.8 billion tax base averaged annual growth of 5.6% over the past five years driven by both appreciation of existing property and new construction. Upcoming projects include a 176-room Hilton Garden Inn to be built adjacent to the university campus and a 159-unit apartment complex within Judge Doyle Square, located downtown in the city's central business district.

As the state capital, Madison remains a major employment center, with the state employing over 19,000 employees, and the University of Wisconsin and its <u>affiliated hospital and clinics</u> (Aa3 stable) account for a combined employment of roughly 37,400. The Madison campus is the University of Wisconsin's largest campus, with roughly 44,411 students enrolled as of fall 2018. Besides a sizeable public sector presence, technological industries continue to bolster the local economy. Exact Sciences, a biotechnology company focusing on cancer research, recently opened its second facility within the city, a 169,000 square foot processing lab, and a new \$60 million headquarters is currently under construction with an anticipated completion date in early 2020.

Demographic trends within the city are positive, with cumulative population growth of 36.7% between the 1980 and 2010 censuses. Increases have remained steady since 2010, with estimated growth of 9.6% through 2019. Despite its large student population, resident income levels are strong with median family income estimated at 120% of the US median. Madison's July 2019 unemployment rate was a low 2.5%, below both the state (3.4%) and nation (4%).

Financial operations and reserves: healthy operating reserves

We expect the city's financial position will remain sound, strengthened by the repayment of an interfund advance to the water enterprise. The city closed fiscal 2018 with an available operating fund balance (combined general, library and debt service funds) of

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

\$74.4 million, or 23.3% of revenues. The fiscal 2019 budget is balanced. The city's largest source of revenue is property taxes, which comprised 72.7% of fiscal 2018 operating fund revenues, followed by intergovernmental revenue at 11.8%.

General operations of the city remain exposed to its water enterprise. Due to a cash drawdown for maintenance expenses and a delay in the approval of a rate increase by the Wisconsin Public Service Commission (PSC), the general fund advanced \$6.1 million to the water utility in fiscal 2017. Favorably, the advance was subsequently repaid with proceeds from revenue-supported promissory notes issued in December of 2018. PSC approved the system's rate increase in October 2018, which should support strengthening of water operations, however any challenges to water operations requiring general fund support could potentially place downward pressure on the city's credit profile. Operational stability of the water enterprise will continue to be a credit consideration in future reviews of the city.

LIQUIDITY

The city closed fiscal 2018 with a net cash position of \$84.3 million, or a healthy 26.4% of operating revenue.

Debt and pensions: high fixed costs stemming from rapid debt amortization

Fixed costs will remain elevated driven by regular borrowing for capital projects and rapid principal amortization. Following an upcoming sale, the city's net direct debt will be 1.7% of full value and 1.6x operating revenue. Management reports no plans for additional GO debt in 2019, but anticipates issuing water revenue bonds prior to year-end. Fixed costs, inclusive of debt service and pension contributions, were a high 28.8% of operating revenue in fiscal 2018.

DEBT STRUCTURE

All of the city's debt is long-term and fixed rate. Amortization is rapid with 93.7% of principal retired within ten years.

DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES

The city has no exposure to any debt-related derivatives.

PENSIONS AND OPEB

Madison participates in the Wisconsin Retirement System (WRS), a statewide cost-sharing plan. Contributions are determined using a level contribution actuarial method in an effort to keep employer and employee contribution rates at a level percentage of payroll over time, and are set at 100% of the plan's funding requirement. As a result, WRS remains one of the best-funded public employee retirement systems in the country, with statewide employer contributions to WRS in 2017 totaling 107.7% of the amount needed to tread water¹.

The city's adjusted net pension liability (ANPL) was \$695.1 million in 2018, up from \$516.8 million in 2016, bringing the three-year average to \$593.6 million, or 1.9x operating revenue and 2% of full value. Moody's ANPL reflects the use of a market-based discount rate to value pension liabilities rather than the assumed rate of investment return on plan assets. In comparison, the reported net pension liability (NPL), based on the plan's 7.2% discount rate, was negative \$50.6 million in fiscal 2018, reflecting a net pension asset.

Growth in the Moody's ANPL has been driven largely by falling market discount rates, however the plan's NPL has benefited from strong investment performance in recent years, with WRS reported a net pension asset as of fiscal 2017. (See Exhibit 2.)

Exhibit 2

Reported NPL Moody's ANPL \$45 \$40 \$35 \$30 \$25 Billions \$20 \$15 \$10 \$5 \$-\$(5) \$(10) 2015 2016 2017 WRS

Wisconsin Retirement System remains well-funded on a reported basis

Source: State of Wisconsin

Madison's other post-employment benefits (OPEB) obligations do not pose a material credit risk. The OPEB liability reflects an implicit rate subsidy for retirees who pay to remain on the city's health care plan. The city's report net OPEB liability at the close of fiscal 2018 was \$65.8 million. Moody's adjusted net OPEB liability, which is similar to our adjustments to pension liabilities, is \$65.3 million, equivalent to 0.2% of full value and 0.2x operating revenue.

Management and governance: moderate institutional framework

The city utilizes a conservative budgetary approach and a multi-year capital plan. City management aims to maintain an unassigned general fund balance of 15% of expenditures, a level it currently exceeds.

Wisconsin cities have an Institutional Framework score of "A", which is moderate. The sector's major revenue source, property tax revenue, is subject to a cap that restricts cities from increasing their operating property tax levies except to capture amounts represented by net new construction growth. Revenues and expenditures tend to be predictable. Across the sector, fixed and mandated costs are generally high. Many cities utilize tax increment districts to attract economic development, often issuing debt to fund initial infrastructure in undeveloped areas. While tax increment districts are ultimately expected to generate revenues sufficient to cover initial city outlay, cities are exposed to economic downturns which could halt development.

Rating methodology and scorecard factors

The <u>US Local Government General Obligation Debt</u> methodology includes a scorecard, a tool providing a composite score of a local government's credit profile based on the weighted factors we consider most important, universal and measurable, as well as possible notching factors dependent on individual credit strengths and weaknesses. Its purpose is not to determine the final rating, but rather to provide a standard platform from which to analyze and compare local government credits.

Exhibit 3

Rating Factors	Measure	Score
Economy/Tax Base (30%) ^[1]		
Tax Base Size: Full Value (in 000s)	\$29,802,897	Aaa
Full Value Per Capita	\$116,577	Aa
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	120.0%	Aa
Notching Factors: ^[2]		
Institutional Presence		Up
Regional Economic Center		Up
Finances (30%)		
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	23.3%	Aa
5-Year Dollar Change in Fund Balance as % of Revenues	0.1%	Α
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	26.4%	Aaa
5-Year Dollar Change in Cash Balance as % of Revenues	5.2%	Α
Notching Factors: ^[2]		
Other Analyst Adjustment to Finances Factor: available liquidity outside core operating funds		Up
Management (20%)		
Institutional Framework	A	Α
Operating History: 5-Year Average of Operating Revenues / Operating Expenditures	1.0x	А
Notching Factors: ^[2]		
Other Analyst Adjustment to Management Factor (specify): high fixed costs		Down
Debt and Pensions (20%)		
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	1.7%	Aa
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	1.6x	А
3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Full Value (%)	2.0%	Aa
3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Operating Revenues (x)	1.9x	А
	Scorecard-Indicated Outcome	Aa1
	Assigned Rating	Aaa

[1] Economy measures are based on data from the most recent year available.

[2] Notching Factors are specifically defined in the US Local Government General Obligation Debt methodology dated December 16, 2016.

[3] Standardized adjustments are outlined in the <u>GO Methodology Scorecard Inputs Updated for 2019 publication</u>.

Sources: Moody's Investors Service, the city's audited financial statements, US Census Bureau

Endnotes

1 Employer contributions that tread water equal the sum of current year service cost and interest on reported net pension liabilities at the start of the year, using reported actuarial assumptions. If plan assumptions are met exactly, contributions equal to the tread water indicator will prevent the reported net pension liabilities from growing. Net liabilities may decrease or increase in a given year due to factors other than the contribution amount, such as investment performance that exceeds or falls short of a plan's assumed rate of return.

© 2019 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved. CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$2,700,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY250,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER 1193091

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454

MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE